

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/663,483	09/13/2000	Abraham R. Matthews	FORT-000600	2761	
64128 MICHAEL A	7590 04/11/200 DESANCTIS	EXAN	EXAMINER		
HAMILTON DESANCTIS & CHA LLP FINANCIAL PLAZA AT UNION SQUARE 225 UNION BOULEVARD. SUITE 305			BRUCKART,	BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LAKEWOOD		2146			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/663,483	MATTHEWS ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BENJAMIN R. BRUCKART	2146		

	DEIGO WIII TO DICOCIO II CI	2140					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 3/18/08 and 4/01/08 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 A he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period is under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sect forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 March 2008</u>. A bried date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appea				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	, ,		he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>None.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.4-8 and 21-34.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. All The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet.

See Continuation Sheet.

12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ____
 Other: _____.

/Jeffrey Pwu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2146

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The information disclosure statement filed 4/1/08 is not considered. It is filed after finality has been drawn and prosecution has been closed.

Applicant did not indicate the proper rationale for consideration as indicated by 37 CFR 1.97.

The examiner maintains the rejection.

Claims 21-27 are not appealable as they have only been rejected once.

The Applicant Argues:

The Rao reference does not teach NOS on Processing Elements.

In response, the examiner respectfully submits:

In the after final amendment, applicant requests clarification for appeal.

First, the network operating system is interpreted to be the underlying instructions that perform the steps as claimed for providing each customer with a customizable configuration of service object groups.

The installing of NOS (network operating system) on a first processing element is interpreted to be the installing of the software installed on the Forwarding Module (col. 4, lines 1-5). Rao teaches forwarding modules (PEs) that operate and perform the operations of allowing the switch to create discrete customized services for customers of a service provider operating the switch by providing each customer with a customized configuration of service object groups (Rao: col. 8, lines 38- col. 9, line 43) where network configurations and processing is conducted.

Further Rao teaches a system virtual router on a first PE of the plurality of PEs (Rao: col. 19, lines 16-43), wherein creating the system virtual router includes establishing a global object manager associated with the NOS of the first PE, the globel object manager being responsible for managing global object groups and global object configurations (Rao: col. 19, lines 39-43) and configuring the plurality of PEs from the system virtual router (Rao: col. 19, lines 44-46; col. 17, lines 25- col. 18, line 11), wherein configuring includes establishing, via the global object manager, a local object manager on each of the PEs, wherein the local object manager for a given PE of the plurality of PEs manages objects local to the given PE and transfers messages between objects on the given PE and between objects on the PEs of the plurality of PEs (Rao: col. 8, lines 38-55).